

E-Mail #2:

Date: Tue, 31 Mar 1998 13:22:50 -0700  
To: "Toto" <toto@sk.sympatico.ca>, "remailer-operators" <remailer-operators@anon.lcs.mit.edu>  
From: Lance Cottrell <loki@infonex.com>  
Subject: Re: AUP  
Cc: "Information Security" <guy@panix.com>, <cypherpunks@cyberpass.net>, <abuse@veil.net>  
Bcc:  
X-Attachments:

At 9:56 AM -0800 3/31/98, Toto wrote:

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Information Security <guy@panix.com>

>

>>Even the cypherpunkish Anonymizer has an AUP, why don't

>>you pick on them first, huh?

>>

>>The Anonymizer people wouldn't even list which sites they block.

>>

>>And Replay.com has an anti-abuse AUP...

>

>> How would you phrase an AUP to prevent abuse?

>

>Don'tEvenThinkAboutIt Remailer Acceptable Use Policy:

>=====

>Any use of the remailer is acceptable, but some uses

>will result in me hunting you down and disembowling

>you.

As a commercial entity we at Anonymizer Inc. can't get away with that kind of thing. The reality is that we do business (for now) in the United States. We must operate under its laws. In addition, we can be sued. Finally we are subject to DOS type attacks.

We use our user agreement to inform about #1, minimize the risk of #2, and to provide a way to stop and retaliate against #3.

Sadly our lawyer suggests that physically dismembering abusers is inappropriate from a corporate point of view. ;)

-Lance

  
Lance Cottrell